Divisions affected: Kingston & Cumnor

CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAY MANAGEMENT – 7 SEPTEMBER 2023

SHELLINGFORD: PROPOSED 20MPH SPEED LIMITS

Report by Corporate Director, Environment and Place

RECOMMENDATION

1. The Cabinet Member for Highway Management is RECOMMENDED to approve the introduction of 20mph speed limits in Shellingford as advertised.

Executive summary

2. The report presents responses to a statutory consultation on the proposed introduction of 20mph speed limits in Shellingford as shown in **Annex 1**.

Financial Implications

3. Funding for consultation and the proposals themselves has been provided by the County Council's 20mph Speed Limit Project.

Equality and Inclusion Implications

4. No implications in respect of equalities or inclusion have been identified in respect of the proposals.

Sustainability Implications

5. The proposals would help encourage walking and cycling within Shellingford by making them safer and more attractive.

Formal consultation

6. Formal consultation was carried out between 13 July and 04 August 2023. A notice was published in the Oxford Times newspaper, and an email sent to statutory consultees & key-stakeholders, including Thames Valley Police, the Fire & Rescue Service, Ambulance service, Bus operators, countywide transport, access & disabled peoples user groups, Vale of White Horse District Council, the local District Cllrs, Shellingford Parish Meeting, and the local County Councillor representing the Kingston & Cumnor division.

Statutory Consultee Responses:

7. Thames Valley Police were the only statutory consultee respondent; they reiterated views concerning OCC's policy and practice regarding 20mph speed limits, they consider their view as 'having concerns' rather than an objection.

Other Responses:

- 8. Three online responses were received, all objections. Two appeared from the same person but may have been from the same household, both believing the 30mph limit to be adequate but needing to be enforced. A resident of Witney suggests Shellingford is being attacked by the anti-motorist coalition with no interest in what residents want. They believe that there is no risk to road users or pedestrians but the Council limits their freedoms to disagree.
- 9. The responses are shown in **Annex 2**, and copies of the original responses are available for inspection by County Councillors.

Officer response to objections/concerns

- 10. The main purpose of the scheme is to encourage greater use of active travel by reducing speeds; this is also expected to reduce accidents. The aim of reducing speed limits is to change driver's mindsets to make speeding socially unacceptable and make more environmentally friendly modes of travel such as walking and cycling more attractive, and also help reduce the Counties carbon footprint. This forms part of a countywide programme of works that seeks to deliver 'a safer place with a safer pace'.
- 11. The authority considers objections along the lines of it being unjustified, anticar, a waste of money, not enforceable or pointless to not warrant amendments to a proposal. As such the authority has not addressed the comments made of this nature in this report.

Bill Cotton Corporate Director, Environment and Place


Annexes Annex 1: Consultation plan

Annex 2: Consultation responses

Contact Officers: Phil Whitfield 07912523497

Geoff Barrell 07392 318869

September 2023



RESPONDENT	COMMENTS
(1) Traffic Management Officer, (Thames Valley Police)	Concerns – Thames Valley Police welcome the opportunity to engage on plans for road safety improvement and acknowledge that 20mph limits can be a useful tool in road safety. There are other reasons 20mph limits may be desirable for communities, such as environmental concerns, and creating a shared space environment to encourage greater diversity of road users.
	Compliance with 20mph limits is a challenging issue as there is a difference between the achievable results of the various available schemes. For example a sign-only scheme will only have a limited effect on the mean speeds, as opposed to other schemes that influence the road environment, which is recognised as being key to achieving compliance. If a speed limit is set too low and is ignored then this could result in the vulnerable road user being less safe. It can also cause a dis-proportionate number of drivers to criminalise themselves and could bring the system of speed limits into disrepute.
	Thames Valley Police have no policy to enforce based on arbitrary speed limits alone but will enforce based on threat of harm, risk and resourcing. 20mph limits are not excluded from this and will be enforced where appropriate. There should be no expectation that the police would be able to provide regular enforcement if a speed limit is set too low as this could result in an unreasonable additional demand on police resources and there are no additional resources available to support extra enforcement. Messages from partners that police will not enforce need to be discouraged. Such messaging can encourage non-compliance and should be avoided. The policy of Thames Valley Police is to use sound practical and realistic criteria (Setting local speed limits - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)) when responding to Highway Authorities in an effort to promote consistency and to reduce the burden of constant and unnecessary enforcement. The advice shown in Circular Roads 1/2013 states.
	 The key factors that should be taken into account in any decisions on local speed limits are: history of collisions road geometry and engineering road function composition of road users (including existing and potential levels of vulnerable road users) existing traffic speeds
	road environment

	However I recognise Oxfordshire County Council now have their own Policy for Setting Speed Limits and I expect full compliance of that policy going forward in relation to both monitoring, future engineering and self-enforcement through Community Speed Watch.
	Our stance remains that primarily 20 mph speed limits and zones should be self-enforcing
	Speed limits should be considered as part of a package of measures to manage vehicle speeds and improve road safety. Changes to the highway (for example through narrowing, providing vertical traffic calming or re-aligning the road) may be required to encourage lower speeds in addition to any change in speed limit. Though these may be more expensive, they are more likely to be successful in the long term in achieving lower speeds without the need for increased police enforcement to penalise substantial numbers of motorists.
(2) Local resident, (Shellingford, Fernham Road)	Object – I feel 30mph is perfectly fine - it just needs enforcing properly.
(3) Local resident, (Shellingford, Fernham Road)	Object – I feel 30mph is sufficient but needs to be enforced
(4) Member of public, (Witney)	Object – Depressing Shellingford is being attacked by the anti motorist coalition that has no interest in what members of the public including locals want. The roads need surfacing there and yet all you care about is your 20 mph crusade without cost benefit analysis, without the backing data justified. Even without the backing of locals and 0 respect for what they want.
	Has Boris Johnson not signed away the devolution to Councils, Councils would not care about this at all and would prioritise what they were voted for. There is no risk to road users or pedestrians in Shellingford but again no voice allowed to disagree.